

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



NK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |         | ILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/827,657                  |         | 04/05/2001  | Richard E. McNutt    | ODS/035                 | 5396             |  |  |
| 1473                        | 7590    | 11/21/2003  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |  |
| FISH & NE                   | EAVE    |             | NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC  |                         |                  |  |  |
| 1251 AVEN                   | UE OF T | HE AMERICAS |                      |                         |                  |  |  |
| 50TH FLOC                   | )R      |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |  |
| NEW YORK                    | ζ, NY 1 | 0020-1105   | 3713                 |                         |                  |  |  |
|                             |         |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003 |                  |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application    | on No    | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  |                |          | Ì  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | 09/827,65      | )/<br>   | MCNUTT ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner       |          | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAIL INC DATE of this communication  | Binh-An D      | <u> </u> | 3713   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2003.  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) □   | <u> </u>   | This action is |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) 🖾  | 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4,6-10,12-14,16-18,20-24 and 26-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗌  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,11,15,19 and 25</u> is/are rejected.  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N |                |          | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>al Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and T   | rademark Office  |                |          |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/827,657

Art Unit: 3713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The response to restriction requirement filed in Paper No. 7, August 28, 2003 has been received. According to the response, Species 1d and 2d (claims 5, 11, 19, and 25) have been elected. Currently, claims 1-28 are pending in the application, wherein claims 2-4, 6-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-24, and 26-28 are withdrawn due to non-elected species. Claims 1, 5, 11, 15, 19, and 25 are hereby examined on the merits. Acknowledgment has been made.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

3. Claims 1, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory

Application/Control Number: 09/827,657

Art Unit: 3713

subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, the limitations claimed in claims 1, 5, and 11 are mere abstract ideas. The recited steps of merely selecting a wagerer (game player), determining if the wagerer is to be recognized does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the game dealer or game operator. These steps only constitute an idea of how to recognize a game player and providing incentive to the player.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention recognizes a game player (i.e. repeatable) used in determining and providing an incentive to the player (i.e., useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1, 5, and 11 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Note that, the Applicants are suggested to amend the claims by including means for recognizing a wagerer (game player) and means to provide an incentive to the wagerer.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3713

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 5, 11, 15, 19, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acres et al. (6,364,768).

Acres et al. teaches a method and system for recognizing a wagerer, comprising: a wagering control system for selecting a wagerer; determining if the wagerer is to be recognized (5:21-59); and providing an incentive to the wagerer if the wagerer is determined to be recognized (7:29-8:5); wherein determining whether the wagerer is to be recognized comprises determining if the wagerer is a VIP (8:35-61); and wherein providing the incentive to the wagerer comprises providing a discount on wagering service (5:49-8:5).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700